IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA STATESVILLE DIVISION

RAMONA WINEBARGER and REX WINEBARGER, Plaintiffs, CASE NOS. 5:15CV57-RLV; 3:15CV211-RLV

v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, Defendant	
MARTHA CARLSON, Plaintiff,	
v.	
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	

Defendants

PLAINTIFFS OBJECTIONS AND COUNTER DESIGNATIONS TO DEFENDANT BOSTON SCIENTIFIC'S COUNTER DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS OF

FRANK ZAKRZEWSKI TAKEN MARCH 7, 2014

BSC Counter Designation	Objection	Plaintiffs Counter
		Designation to BSC Counter
		Designation
fz030714revised, (Pages 41:2 to 42:4)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
41	previously	incorporate their counter
2 Paragraph 1 to the deposition	designated	designations, if any.
3 notice states that, "The subject matter of	this	
4 Boston Scientific's notice shall be the	testimony	
5 statements concerning the use of the material	and	
6 for implantation in the human body	Plaintiffs	
contained	adopt and	
7 in the section titled 'Medical Application	incorporate	
8 Caution' on page 1 of the material safety	their	
9 data sheet for Phillips Sumika Polypropylene	objections as	
10 Company, Marlex polypropylenes, version	set forth the	
dated	Counter	
11 January 28th, 2004, and subsequent	Designations,	
versions,	if any.	
12 specifically the location of, the basis for,		
13 and the purpose of the inclusion of this		
14 language."		

45		<u> </u>
Did I read that correctly?		
16 A. Yes, you did.		
17 Q. And, Mr. Zakrzewski, are you		
18 prepared to talk about the topics noted in		
19 paragraph 1 of the deposition exhibit that we		
20 just covered?		
21 A. Yes, I can I can talk about		
22 the topics.		
Q. Great. And, Mr. Zakrzewski,		
24 can you please tell me what your current job		
42		
1 title is.		
2 A. Procurement operations manager.		
3 Q. And are you employed by CPChem?		
4 A. Yes.		
	BSC has	Digintiffs adopt and
fz030714revised, (Page 44:3 to 44:11)		Plaintiffs adopt and
	previously	incorporate their counter
3 Q. I'm going to hand you what	designated	designations, if any.
4 we've marked as Deposition Exhibit 3. And	this	
5 for the record, Deposition Exhibit 3 is a	testimony	
6 material safety data sheet for Marlex	and	
7 polypropylenes, all grades, dated	Plaintiffs	
8 January 28th, 2004.	adopt and	
9 Do you see that down at the	incorporate	
10 bottom of the first page?	their	
11 A. I do.	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 45:3 to 45:12)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
45	previously	incorporate their counter
3 Q. And in the deposition notice	designated	designations, if any.
4 from Boston Scientific, we specifically asked	this	designations, it any.
5 about the medical application caution	testimony	
6 language that's on the first page of the	and	
7 MSDS, and do you understand the medical	Plaintiffs	
, v		
8 application caution language to be what's	adopt and	
9 contained in those three paragraphs under	incorporate	
10 what I've just highlighted?	their	
11 A. Yes, I can read read that	objections as	
12 it's on here, yes.	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Pages 45:24 to 46:11)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
45	previously	incorporate their counter
24 Q. Was the medical application	designated	designations, if any.
46	this	"
1 statement that we've highlighted in	testimony	
2 Deposition Exhibit 3 added to the	and	
Position Limital C under to me	*****	

 3 polypropylene MSDS based on any scientific 4 testing that was conducted? 5 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 6 Q. And was the medical application 7 statement for polypropylene in the MSDS 	Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their objections as	
8 marked as Deposition Exhibit 3 added based on	set forth the Counter	
9 any specific scientific data on	Designations,	
10 polypropylene?	if any.	
11 A. No. Not that I'm aware of.		
fz030714revised, (Page 47:8 to 47:21) 47 8 Q. Was the medical application	BSC has previously designated	Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their counter designations, if any.
9 statement that we're looking at in Deposition	this	
10 Exhibit 3 added to the polypropylene MSDS	testimony	
11 based on any review of the scientific or	and	
 12 medical literature on polypropylene? 13 A. Yeah, I'm not aware of any 	Plaintiffs adopt and	
14 testing or information on polypropylene	incorporate	
15 related to this statement.	their	
16 Q. And was the medical application	objections as	
17 statement for the polypropylene material	set forth the	
18 safety data sheet added to the MSDS based	Counter	
on	Designations,	
19 any scientific concerns with vaginal mesh20 specifically?	if any.	
20 specificary: 21 A. No.		
fz030714revised, (Page 49:16 to 49:24)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
49	previously	incorporate their counter
16 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you	designated	designations, if any.
17 what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit 4.	this	
18 And for the record, Deposition Exhibit 4 is	testimony	
19 an MSDS for Marlex polypropylenes dated	and	
20 August 29th, 1997. 21 Mr. Zakrzewski, have you seen	Plaintiffs adopt and	
22 this MSDS before that we've marked as	incorporate	
23 Deposition Exhibit 4?	their	
24 A. Yes.	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
fz030714revised, (Pages 50:11 to 51:2)	if any. BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
50	previously	incorporate their counter
11 Q. In looking at Deposition	designated	designations, if any.
12 Exhibit 4, the material safety data sheet	this	
13 dated August 29th, 1997, you said you did	testimony	
14 review this as part of your preparation for	and	
15 your deposition today?	Plaintiffs	
16 A. Yes.	adopt and	
17 Q. Anywhere in Deposition	incorporate	

10. 7.171.4.7.1	1	1
18 Exhibit 4, the August 29th, 1997, MSDS, is	their	
19 there any medical application caution	objections as	
20 statement?	set forth the	
21 A. No.	Counter	
Q. And in the 1997 MSDS for	Designations,	
23 polypropylenes, is there any statement	if any.	
saying		
24 that polypropylenes should not be used in a		
51		
1 medical device?		
2 A. No.		
fz030714revised, (Page 51:9 to 51:15)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
51	previously	incorporate their counter
9 Q. I'm going to hand you what's	designated	designations, if any.
10 been marked as Deposition Exhibit 5. And	this	
for	testimony	
11 the record, Deposition Exhibit 5 is the	and	
12 material safety data sheet for Marlex	Plaintiffs	
13 polypropylenes dated February 28th, 2001,	adopt and	
13 polypropylenes dated February 28th, 2001, 14 bearing the CPChem Bates numbers starting	incorporate	
at bearing the Crement Dates numbers starting	their	
	objections as	
15 35 and ending at 41.	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
6.020 5 14	if any.	DI 1 (100 I 4 I
fz030714revised, (Pages 51:22 to 52:9)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
51	previously	incorporate their counter
22 Q. With regard to the	designated	designations, if any.
23 February 28th, 2001, material safety data	this	
24 sheet for Marlex polypropylene, is there any	testimony	
52	and	
1 medical application caution contained in that	Plaintiffs	
2 document?	adopt and	
3 A. No.	incorporate	
4 Q. And similarly does the	their	
5 February 28th, 2001, material safety data	objections as	
6 sheet contain any statement that	set forth the	
7 polypropylene should not be used in medical	Counter	
8 devices?	Designations,	
9 A. No.	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Pages 52:17 to 54:22)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
52	previously	incorporate their counter
17 Q. Are you familiar with the	designated	designations, if any.
18 specific grade of Marlex polypropylene	this	
that's	testimony	
19 numbered HGX-030-01?	and	
20 A. Yes, I know of it.	Plaintiffs	
21 Q. And would that specific grade	adopt and	
	_	
	incorporate	
23 safety data sheet that we've marked as	their	

24	Denosition Exhibit 59	abiaatiana aa	
24	Deposition Exhibit 5?	objections as	
	53	set forth the	
1	A. Yes.	Counter	
2	Q. And would the February 28th,	Designations,	
3	2001, material safety data sheet for Marlex	if any.	
4	polypropylenes apply to all grades of		
5	polypropylene as of February 28th, 2001?		
6	A. According to the data sheet,		
7	yes.		
8	Q. And would the material safety		
9	data sheet stay in effect until a new version		
10	was published?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	Q. Are you aware of any material		
13	safety data sheet for Marlex polypropylenes		
14	that contains the medical application		
15	statement prior to the January 28th, 2004,		
16	MSDS?		
17	A. I am not.		
18	Q. I want you to look with me real		
19	quick back at Deposition Exhibit 3, and,		
20	again, this is the 2004 version.		
21	Where in the MSDS does the		
22	medical application statement appear?		
23	A. First page.		
24	Q. And is there a specific section		
27	54		
1	that the medical application statement		
2	appears in? Is it Section 1?		
3	A. Section 1, correct.		
4	Q. And what's the title of		
5	•		
	Section 1 in the material safety data sheet?		
6	A. Product and Company		
7	Identification.		
8	Q. And if you would look with me		
9	at the next page of the 2004 MSDS, there is a		
10	specific section in the MSDS that deals with		
11	hazards. Do you see that?		
12	A. Section 3, you're referring to?		
13	Q. Correct.		
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q. Does the medical application		
16	statement appear in the hazards section of		
17	the polypropylene MSDS?		
18	A. No.		
19	Q. Mr. Zakrzewski, do you know		
20	whether or not the medical application		
21	statement that we've looked at today is		
22	unique to polypropylene MSDSs?		

fz030714revised, (Pages 54:24 to 55:1)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
54	previously	incorporate their counter
24 A. No, it's not unique to	designated	designations, if any.
55	this	
1 polypropylene MSDSs.	testimony	
	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
6-02071 Associated (Decree 64 A 4 67 1)	if any.	DI-1-4-66 14
fz030714revised, (Pages 64:4 to 65:1)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
64	previously	incorporate their counter
4 Q. I'm going to hand you what's	designated	designations, if any.
5 been marked as Deposition Exhibit 7, and6 Deposition Exhibit 7 is Bates numbered CP-	this	
70,	testimony and	
7 running to 79, and it's a Marlex HGX-030-01	Plaintiffs	
8 polypropylene MSDS.	adopt and	
9 Mr. Zakrzewski, have you seen	incorporate	
10 Deposition Exhibit 7 in your preparation for	their	
11 your deposition today?	objections as	
12 A. Yes, I believe I have.	set forth the	
13 Q. And with regard to Deposition	Counter	
14 Exhibit 7, this MSDS for HGX-030-01 has	Designations,	
what	if any.	
15 revision date?		
16 A. 10/17/2011.		
17 Q. And the HGX-030-01 MSDS		
marked		
18 as Deposition Exhibit 7 likewise has a		
19 medical application statement in Section 1;		
20 is that correct?		
21 A. It does.		
Q. And was the medical application		
23 statement in Deposition Exhibit 7 placed on		
24 the MSDS based on any scientific testing		
that 65		
1 was done on polypropylene? fz030714revised, (Pages 65:3 to 66:11)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
65	previously	incorporate their counter
3 A. I know of no scientific testing	designated	designations, if any.
4 related to this.	this	acoignations, it any.
5 BY MR. STRONGMAN:	testimony	
6 Q. And if you could look with me	and	
7 at the very last page, Bates numbered	Plaintiffs	
		<u>l</u>

8 CP-00078, on Deposition Exhibit 7, are you	adopt and	
9 with me?	incorporate	
10 A. Page 78, yes.	their	
11 Q. And there's a legacy MSDS	objections as	
12 number included for Deposition Exhibit 7 on	set forth the	
13 that page. Do you see it?	Counter	
14 A. Yes.	Designations,	
15 Q. And what is the legacy MSDS	if any.	
16 number that's provided?	п апу.	
17 A. 240590.		
18 Q. And is that the same MSDS		
19 number for the MSDSs that we were just		
20 looking at?		
21 A. Yes.		
10		
23 same section of Deposition Exhibit 7, there		
24 is an NFPA classification, do you see that? 66		
1 A. I'm sorry, NFPA? Yes.		
Q. And there's a health hazard		
3 category. Do you see that?		
4 A. Yes.		
5 Q. And what is the number given		
6 for health hazard?		
7 A. Zero.		
8 Q. Mr. Zakrzewski, are you aware		
9 of Phillips adding a medical application		
10 statement to any MSDS for polypropylene as		
a		
11 result of scientific testing that was done?		
fz030714revised, (Page 66:13 to 66:13)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
66	previously	incorporate their counter
13 A. I am not.	designated	designations, if any.
	this	
	testimony	
	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Pages 68:23 to 69:2)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
	DSC Has	I million adopt and
68	previously	incorporate their counter
68 23 Q. All right. Mr. Zakrzewski, I'm		<u> </u>
	previously	incorporate their counter
23 Q. All right. Mr. Zakrzewski, I'm	previously designated this	incorporate their counter
23 Q. All right. Mr. Zakrzewski, I'm 24 going to hand you what we've marked as	previously designated	incorporate their counter

2 A. Thank you.	Plaintiffs	
2 III IIIIIII y ou	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
f-020714	if any. BSC has	Disindiffs adom4 and
fz030714revised, (Pages 69:18 to 71:8)		Plaintiffs adopt and
69	previously	incorporate their counter
18 Q. Mr. Zakrzewski, have you had an	designated	designations, if any.
opportunity to review Deposition Exhibit 8?	this	
20 A. Yes.	testimony	
21 Q. And for the record, Deposition	and	
22 Exhibit 8 is an agreement between PSPC	Plaintiffs	
and	adopt and	
23 Boston Scientific; is that correct?	incorporate	
24 A. Yes.	their	
70	objections as	
1 Q. And what was the effective date	set forth the	
2 of the agreement marked as Deposition	Counter	
3 Exhibit 8?	Designations,	
4 A. October 1, 2004.	if any.	
5 Q. So the effective date of the		
6 agreement between PSPC and Boston		
Scientific		
7 was after January of 2004, correct?		
8 A. October comes after January,		
9 correct.		
10 Q. And looking down at the second		
11 paragraph of Exhibit 8, the agreement states		
12 that Boston Scientific may from time to time		
13 order certain PSPC polypropylene product		
14 listed in Attachment A. Do you see that?		
15 A. Yes, I see that.		
16 Q. And did you look at		
17 Attachment A to Deposition Exhibit 8?		
18 A. Yes, I did.		
19 Q. And Attachment A lists		
20 HGX-030-01; is that correct?		
21 A. Yes.		
Q. And that's a polypropylene		
23 grade?		
24 A. Yes.		
71		
1 Q. And based on the terms of the		
2 agreement that's marked as Deposition		
3 Exhibit 8, it states that the polypropylene		
4 may be used by, for or on behalf of Boston		
5 Scientific in the manufacture of medical		
	•	

6 devices which may be implanted in the		
human		
7 body or have contact with internal body		
8 fluids or tissues; is that correct?		
fz030714revised, (Page 71:13 to 71:14)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
71	previously	incorporate their counter
13 A. The agreement gives them the	designated	designations, if any.
14 right to buy resin.	this	
	testimony	
	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	77.4.400
fz030714revised, (Page 78:10 to 78:13)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
78	previously	incorporate their counter
10 Q. And you're not here today to	designated	designations, if any.
11 offer any criticisms about any conduct of	this	
12 Boston Scientific; is that true?13 A. That's true.	testimony	
13 A. That's true.	and Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 107:8 to 107:10)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
107	previously	incorporate their counter
8 Q. Phillips Sumika did not	designated	designations, if any.
9 consider Marlex 030 to be marketed and sold	this	
10 for the intended use of medical devices?	testimony	
	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	

fz030714revised, (Page 107:15 to 107:20) 107 15 A. We don't determine suitability 16 of use. Our expertise is in producing resin, 17 and there are steps between resin and a final 18 product. We don't we're not experts in 19 processing. We're not experts in 19 determining 20 how someone wants to use our product.	BSC has previously designated this testimony and Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their objections as set forth the Counter Designations,	
fz030714revised, (Page 141:15 to 141:21)	if any. BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
141	previously	incorporate their counter
15 Q. If you could look at Deposition	designated	designations, if any.
16 Exhibit 8, which is the contract between17 Boston Scientific and PSPC.	this testimony	
18 A. Yes.	and	
19 Q. All right. Do you have that in	Plaintiffs	
20 front of you?	adopt and	
21 A. Yes, sir.	incorporate	
	their objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
E-020714marizad (Domos 142-104-142-0)	if any. BSC has	Disinsiffs adams and
fz030714revised, (Pages 142:10 to 143:6) 142	previously	Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their counter
10 The third paragraph in	designated	designations, if any.
11 Exhibit 8 starts, "Whereas, it is PSPC's	this	, ,
12 understanding that (i) certain third parties,	testimony	
13 including without limitation subcontractors	and	
14 and OEMs of Boston Scientific, may from time	Plaintiffs adopt and	
15 to time purchase PSPC or PSPC's	incorporate	
distributors	their	
16 certain PSPC polypropylene product,	objections as	
including	set forth the	
17 PSPC polypropylene product listed in	Counter	
18 Attachment A for the use in the manufacture 19 of medical devices by, for or on behalf of	Designations, if any.	
20 Boston Scientific."	ii uiiy•	
21 Do you see that part?		
22 A. Yes.		
Q. And did I read that correctly?		
24 A. Yes. 143		

1 Q. What is an OEM?		
2 A. Original equipment		
3 manufacturer.		
4 Q. And what's a subcontractor?		
5 A. I don't know, but I guess they		
6 would contract to an OEM.		
	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
fz030714revised, (Pages 143:11 to 144:1)	previously	Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their counter
11 Q. The paragraph goes on Roman	designated	designations, if any.
12 numeral (ii), "Boston Scientific may from	this	designations, if any.
` ''		
1	testimony	
14 distributors certain PSPC polypropylene	and	
15 product, including PSPC polypropylene	Plaintiffs	
product 16 listed in Attachment A for use in the	adopt and	
16 listed in Attachment A for use in the	incorporate	
manufacture of medical devices by, for or on	their	
18 behalf of Boston Scientific.	objections as	
"As described in this 'Whereas'	set forth the	
20 clause, PSPC polypropylene product which	Counter	
is	Designations,	
21 not purchased directly from PSPC by Boston	if any.	
22 Scientific is hereinafter referred to as		
23 'indirect product.'"		
Do you see that?		
144		
1 A. Yes.	Dag I	77.1.100
fz030714revised, (Page 144:4 to 144:8)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
144	previously	incorporate their counter
4 In your experience, are there	designated	designations, if any.
5 occasions when you have distributors or	this	
third	testimony	
6 parties that are the purchasers of resin from	and	
7 you as opposed to the ultimate	Plaintiffs	
manufacturer?	adopt and	
8 A. Yes.	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
1		
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Pages 167:11 to 168:4)		Plaintiffs adopt and
fz030714revised, (Pages 167:11 to 168:4) 167	if any.	Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their counter
	if any. BSC has	_
167	if any. BSC has previously	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions	if any. BSC has previously designated	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions 12 today about the Marlex resin being sold to	if any. BSC has previously designated this	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions 12 today about the Marlex resin being sold to 13 manufacturers. Is the Marlex resin sold	if any. BSC has previously designated this testimony	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions 12 today about the Marlex resin being sold to 13 manufacturers. Is the Marlex resin sold both	if any. BSC has previously designated this testimony and	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions 12 today about the Marlex resin being sold to 13 manufacturers. Is the Marlex resin sold both 14 to end manufacturers and to distributors?	if any. BSC has previously designated this testimony and Plaintiffs	incorporate their counter
167 11 Q. You've answered some questions 12 today about the Marlex resin being sold to 13 manufacturers. Is the Marlex resin sold both 14 to end manufacturers and to distributors? 15 A. Yeah, I would term it "end	if any. BSC has previously designated this testimony and Plaintiffs adopt and	incorporate their counter

18 Q. So you sell directly to end	objections as	
19 processors, but you also sell to	set forth the	
20 distributors?	Counter	
21 A. Yes.	Designations,	
22 Q. And then those distributors	if any.	
23 sell the Marlex resin on to end	п апу.	
manufacturers		
24 or other distributors?		
168		
1 A. They sell to other processors.		
2 They sell to processors.		
3 Q. End processors?		
4 A. (Nods head.)	PGG I	DI
fz030714revised, (Page 168:20 to 168:24)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
168	previously	incorporate their counter
20 Q. Did Phillips Sumika expect the	designated	designations, if any.
21 end processors to make the determination as	this	
22 to the suitability of using Marlex resin for	testimony	
23 whichever application they chose?	and	
24 A. Yes.	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 173:13 to 173:19)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
173	previously	incorporate their counter
13 Q. And does Phillips Sumika have	designated	designations, if any.
14 any medical device expertise at all?	this	
15 A. None that I'm aware of.	testimony	
16 Q. Phillips Sumika is not a	and	
17 medical device manufacturer?	Plaintiffs	
18 A. No. We're a resin	adopt and	
19 manufacturer.	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 177:16 to 177:19)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
177	previously	incorporate their counter
16 Q. And do the manufacturing specs	designated	designations, if any.
17 include the additives to the HGX-030-01	this	designations, it any.
18 Marlex?	testimony	
19 A. Yes.	and	
1) A. 105.	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	

	incorporato	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 178:7 to 178:19)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
178	previously	incorporate their counter
7 Q. Are there three additives here	designated	designations, if any.
8 in the list for the HGX-030-01?	this	
9 A. Yes.	testimony	
10 Q. And are the three additives	and	
11 here the Irganox 3114 and the Irgafos 168	Plaintiffs	
and	adopt and	
12 the DHT-4A?	incorporate	
13 A. Those names are there, yes.	their	
14 Q. Those are additives to the	objections as	
15 Marlex resin, the HGX-030-01; is that right?	set forth the	
16 A. Yes.	Counter	
17 Q. Do you know what Irganox 3114	Designations,	
18 is?	if any.	
19 A. No.		
fz030714revised, (Pages 178:24 to 180:10)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
178	previously	incorporate their counter
24 Q. Do you know if it's an	designated	designations, if any.
179	this	designations, if any.
1 antioxidant?	testimony	
2 A. Yes.	and	
3 Q. You know that it is an	Plaintiffs	
4 antioxidant?	adopt and	
5 A. Yes.	incorporate	
6 Q. And Irgafos 168, do you know	their	
7 the purpose of that additive?		
8 A. It's also an antioxidant.	objections as set forth the	
	Counter	
9 Q. And DHT-4A, do you know what 10 that is?		
	Designations,	
11 A. Not sure.	if any.	
12 Q. And were these additives added		
13 to the Marlex resin, the HGX-030-01, in		
14 May starting May 31, 2002?		
MR. MATTHEWS: Object to form.		
16 A. According to this sheet, and		
17 I'm going by this manufacturing spec, it		
18 looks like this change was made in started		
19 running these additives 9/11 of '97, based on		
20 what I'm reading.		
21 BY MR. MERRELL:		
Q. And these three additives here,		
23 those are added by Phillips Sumika to the		
24 resin?		

180		
1 A. Yes.		
2 Q. Do you know if there were any		
3 changes at all to the additives after May 31,		
4 2002?		
5 A. Do I know if they were?		
6 Q. That there were any changes to		
7 the		
8 A. No changes.		
9 Q. No changes?		
10 A. I confirmed that.	DCC b	Disintiffs adopt 1
fz030714revised, (Page 183:9 to 183:11)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
183	previously	incorporate their counter
9 Q. To be clear, though, as you	designated	designations, if any.
10 said before, you've seen no testing that led	this	
11 to that change in the MSDS; is that correct?	testimony	
	and Distriction	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate their	
	objections as set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
fz030714roviced (Dago 193.13 to 193.14)	if any. BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
fz030714revised, (Page 183:13 to 183:14) 183		<u> </u>
13 A. There's no testing that I'm	previously designated	incorporate their counter designations, if any.
14 aware of.	this	designations, it ally.
17 awaleul.	testimony	
	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	
	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	if any.	
fz030714revised, (Page 184:11 to 184:14)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
184	previously	incorporate their counter
11 You're not aware of any	designated	designations, if any.
12 scientific basis for the medical caution	this	acceptance in the second
13 statement in the MSDS?	testimony	
14 A. I'm not.	and	
	Plaintiffs	
	adopt and	
	incorporate	
	their	

	objections as	
	set forth the	
	Counter	
	Designations,	
	,	
P. 0.20#14	if any.	DI 1 100 1 4 1
fz030714revised, (Pages 187:21 to 188:12)	BSC has	Plaintiffs adopt and
187	previously	incorporate their counter
21 Q. Mark as Exhibit 22, this is	designated	designations, if any.
22 CP-00070 to 79. And what is the date of this	this	
23 document?	testimony	
24 A. 10/17/2011.	and	
188	Plaintiffs	
1 Q. Is this the material safety	adopt and	
2 data sheet for the Marlex HGX-030-01 for	incorporate	
that	their	
3 date?	objections as	
4 A. Yes.	set forth the	
5 Q. And if you look at the second	Counter	
6 page, under "GHS Classification" I'm	Designations,	
7 sorry.	if any.	
	п апу.	
8		
9 say, "Not a dangerous substance according to		
10 the globally harmonized system of		
11 classification and labeling of chemicals"?		
12 A. It says that.		

1. Objections to Counter Exhibits.

a. BSC has previously designated Zakrzewski 4, 5, 7, 8 and 22. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate their objects as set forth in their counter-designations, if any.

2. Counter Exhibits to Counter Exhibits

a. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate the exhibits designated in their counter designations to this witness.

DATED: July 20, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,

TRACEY & FOX LAW FIRM

/s/ Sean Tracey
Sean Patrick Tracey
State Bar No. 20176500
Shawn P. Fox
State Bar No. 24040926
Clint Casperson

State Bar No. 24075561
440 Louisiana, Suite 1901
Houston, TX 77002
(800) 925-7216
(866) 709-2333
<a href="mailto:stracey@traceylawfirm.com/sfox@traceylawfirm.com/ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com/ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com/ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com/sfox@traceylawfirm.com/ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com/sfox@traceylawfirm.com/ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com/sfox@traceylaw

/s/ John R. Fabry

John R. Fabry
Texas Bar No. 06768480
Mark R. Mueller
Texas Bar No. 14623500
MUELLER LAW, PLLC
404 West 7th Street
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 478-1236
(512) 478-1473 (Facsimile)
John.Fabry@muellerlaw.com
Mark@muellerlaw.com
Meshservice@muellerlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 20, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the CM/ECF participants registered to receive service in this MDL.

TRACEY & FOX LAW FIRM

/s/ Sean Tracey
Sean Patrick Tracey
State Bar No. 2176500
Shawn P. Fox
Clint Casperson
State Bar No. 24075561
State Bar No. 24040926
440 Louisiana, Suite 1901
Houston, TX 77002
(800) 925-7216
(866) 709-2333
stracey@traceylawfirm.com
sfox@traceylawfirm.com
ccasperson@traceylawfirm.com

/s/ John R. Fabry
John R. Fabry
Texas Bar No. 06768480
Mark R. Mueller
Texas Bar No. 14623500
MUELLER LAW, PLLC
404 West 7th Street
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 478-1236
(512) 478-1473 (Facsimile)
John.Fabry@muellerlaw.com
Mark@muellerlaw.com
Meshservice@muellerlaw.com